Sectt. II-27.—40,000—20-12-38.

Archive Volume (1939) Department.

MS SERIES.

G.O. No. 2248

Dated 19-9-39.

[Despatch Abstract.]

Land acquisition South Kanara
Mangalore - Acquisition of a
bungalow for use as Collectors
residence Memorial of 21.6.39
from Sheriana Sahib and Brothers

3-873/35

1856
3/7

HIS EXCELLENCY

THE GOVERNOR OF FORT ST. GEORGE,

M A D R A S.

CAMP - OOTACAMUND.

Humble memorial of Severina Sabina Brito, widow of the late Mr. J. M. Brito and residing at Bendur, Mangalore, South Kanara District.

Dated 27th June, 1939.

Most Humbly Showeth:-

The memorialist being very sorely aggrieved by the deprivation unjustly and cruelly made of her heirloom properties of considerable value under colour of the Land Acquisition Act, begs most respectfully leave to approach Your Excellency in the hope of obtaining benign and just redress.

The memorialist begs to submit that she belongs to the premier Roman Catholic Christian family of Mangalore, whose ancestors,

as disclosed by ancient records had been the trusted agents of the East India Company's Government in Mangalore, on its acquisition after the overthrow of the Mysore Muslim Power.

The memorialist's father the late Mr. J. J. Coelho who was the last male member of his family and who died without male issue had devised all his properties in favour of his widow the late Mrs. M. M. Coelho to be enjoyed by her absolutely and left nothing for his four daughters of whom the memorialist is the eldest one.

The said Mrs. M. M. Coelho took all the properties under the devise and continued to enjoy them till her death in 1909. As she had appointed the memorialist as the sole executrix of her last will and testament, the latter on her mother's death came to hold and administered all the properties left by the teatatrix.

Of the said properties consisting of fertile cultivated lands producing rice, other food grains, various kinds of flora and fruitage

and also substantial and superb buildings in almost all quarters of prominence and vantage in the City of Mangalore, a portion called 'Sheffield Grant' and situated in the heart of the City and amidst charming sceneries is a unique diadem in the possession of the ancient and loyal premier family now represented by Your Excellency's humble memorialist. This property comprises about 93 acres of garden and uncultivated dry land with two big bungalows, in one of which the family used to be in residence for some part of the year. After the death of her mother, the memorialist began to reside chiefly at her husband's house at Jeppoo or the Codialboil family house. Thus the two bungalows became available to local officers for occupation on monthly tenancy.

Coming now to the main facts which constitute her poignant grievance, the memorialist has to submit that since the deaths of her husband and her mother, she owing to ill-health has been managing through agents and her younger sister's husband, Mr. J. J. Fernandes was her agent for the collection of the rentals from the Sheffield Grant Estate. Having by means of fraud, got attornments from, and granting receipts to, tenants with the dishonest intent of creating in himself a title, he was

for house rents.

Nevertheless, the Revenue Divisional Officer of Mangalore, in Land Acquisition Case No. 20 of 1935 made an award against which the said Mr. J. J. Fernandes and his daughter filed their objections before the Court of the Subordinate Judge of South Kanara at Mangalore, only with regard to valuation and never from the beginning opposed the proposal for the acquisition. The said Court having modified the original award by enhancing the value to Rs. 1,00,000/-, the Government has filed an appeal before the Honourable High Court of Judicature at Madras, and it is still pending and may take one or two years for final disposal.

The memorialist submits that she is in no way concerned with the pendency of that appeal, as her objection is not with regard to the quantum of compensation awarded, but with regard to acquisition itself.

On the ground that the provision of a bungalow for an officer, is not a public purpose and also on other grounds, the memorialist has a cause of action for a civil suit as against the Government. But, owing to the deep loyalty cherished by her

family, she abhors the very idea though advised and encouraged.

Moreover, the properties in question are among those involved in a civil litigation filed by the memorialist against the said Mr. J. J. Fernandes and others seeking declarations negativing their right, title and interest in respect of the properties in question inter alia. In these circumstances, it is highly detrimental to both private and public interest, that the Government should interfere in such matters by attempting to acquire such properties which are bones of contentions and serves no real and useful purpose of the Government or the public.

Save for the purpose of providing a residence for the Collector which is not in any way a public purpose, the proposed acquisition is of no necessity or utility for any road, ferry, military, railway or port purposes. By the withdrawal of the land acquisition proceedings at least at this stage before disbursement of the value of compensation, a clean and not saving of over a lakh of King's good rupees can be effected and the amount utilised for more urgent and useful needs instead of throwing it for the sake of a paltry and purely private purpose in the present

stringent state of financial depression.

The memorialist is a pious lady of an advanced age ever cherising the blessings and boons of British Rule in India praying for the progress and prosperity of that Rule.

The memorialist, therefore, most respectfully prays that Your Excellency as the representative in the Province of Madras of His Most Excellent Majesty the King Emperor may, on a very kind and merciful consideration of the facts and circumstances stated above, be graciously pleased, in recognition of the past services rendered to the Government by her ancestors, to direct the Collector of South Kanara to drop all proceedings of land acquisition in question and protect her own prestige and the prestige of her premier family.

For which act of grace, the memorialist shall, as in duty bound, ever pray.

S. S. Brito

MEMORIALIST.

B

Re 3155 B/38 ~~Re 3155 F/38~~

B (Dis) 12.7.39

Land acquisition appeals (3)

Helenburgh
Benwork
Mangalore
3rd July 1939

MINISTER FOR REVENUE
11 JUL 1939
GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS

To

The Hon'ble T. Prakasam B/
Revenue Minister to the Government
of Madras, Madras.

Respected Sir,

I venture to submit a true copy of my Memorial dated 21st June 1939 to the Governor of Madras for your kind perusal.

I earnestly solicit your favour of advising His Excellency to take kindly & generous view of the question and vouchsafe unto me the requisite relief as prayed for therein.

I beg to remain
Respected Sir,
your obedient Servant
S. S. Britz

SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT
11 JUL 1939
REVENUE DEPARTMENT

To

His Excellency

The Governor of Fort St. George,

O O T A C A M U N D.

Humble memorial of Severina Sabina Brito, widow of the late J. M. Brito and residing at Bendur, Mangalore, South Kanara District.

Dated 21st June 1939.

Most Humbly Showeth:-

The memorialist being very sorely aggrieved by the deprivation unjustly and cruelly made of her heirloom properties of considerable value under colour of the Land Acquisition Act, begs most respectfully leave to approach Your Excellency in the hope of obtaining benign and just redress.

The memorialist begs to submit that she belongs to the premier Roman Catholic Christian family of Mangalore, whose ancestors, as disclosed by ancient records had been the trusted

10

agents of the East India Company's Government in Mangalore, on its acquisition after the overthrow of the Mysore Muslim Power.

The memorialist's father, the late Mr. J. J. Coelho who was the last male member of his family and who died without male issue had devised all his properties in favour of his widow the late Mrs. M. M. Coelho to be enjoyed by her absolutely and left nothing for his four daughters of whom the memorialist is the eldest one.

The said Mrs. M. M. Coelho took all the properties under the devise and continued to enjoy them till her death in 1909. As she had appointed the memorialist as the sole executrix of her last will and testament, the latter on her mother's death came to hold and administered all the properties left by the testatrix.

Of the said properties consisting of fertile cultivated lands producing rice, other food grains, various kinds of flora and fruitage and also substantial and superb buildings in almost all quarters of prominence and vantage in

the City of Mangalore, a portion called 'Sheffield Grant' and situated in the heart of the City and amidst charming sceneries is a unique diadem in the possession of the ancient and loyal premier family now represented by Your Excellency's humble memorialist. This property comprises about ~~39~~ 4.3.13. acres of garden and uncultivated dry land with two big bungalows, in one of which the family used to be in residence for some part of the year. After the death of her mother, the memorialist began to reside chiefly at her husband's house at Jeppoo or the Codialboil family house. Thus the two bungalows became available to local officers for occupation on monthly tenancy.

Coming now to the main facts which constitute her poignant grievance, the memorialist has to submit that since the deaths of her husband and her mother, she owing to ill-health has been managing through agents and her younger sister's husband Mr. J. J. Fernandes was her agent for the collection of the rentals from the Sheffield Grant Estate. Having by means of fraud, got attornments from, and granting receipts to, tenants with the dishonest intent of creating in himself a title, he was awaiting an opportunity when with him some of the enemies of the memorialist made a common

cause and craftly influenced the local authorities to start land acquisition proceedings in respect of the said family heirloom properties in order not only to spite and disgrace the memorialist's ancient family, but also to enable the sole mischief-monger the afore-mentioned Mr. J. J. Fernandes to snatch merely on a show of infirm and invalid title, a share in the compensation awarded.

From the outset, the memorialist contested the propriety and justice of the institution of land acquisition proceedings urging among other things that they were ultra vires of the Act itself in that the provision of a house for the private residence and occupation for an officer is not a public purpose at law.

It has to be submitted that the policy of making such provision is a retrogade and unconstitutional one. Even members of executive Councils of the Governors then had not, and the Ministers and their Parliamentary Secretaries under present Indian Constitution Act of 1935 have not, been provided with public buildings for their private residential purpose, on the other hand, they are being paid special allowance for house rents.

Nevertheless, the Revenue Divisional Officer of Mangalore, in Land Acquisition Case No. 20 of 1935 made an award against which the said Mr. J. J. Fernandes and his daughter filed their objections before the Court of the Subordinate Judge of South Kanara, Mangalore, only with regard to valuation and never from the beginning opposed the proposal for the acquisition. The said Court having modified the original award by enhancing the value to Rs. 1,00,000/-, the Government has filed an appeal before the Honourable High Court of Judicature at Madras and it is still pending and may take one or two years for final disposal.

The memorialist submits that she is in no way concerned with the pendency of that appeal, as her objection is not with regard to the quantum of compensation awarded, but with regard to acquisition itself.

On the ground that the provision of a bungalow for an officer, is not a public purpose and also on other grounds, the memorialist has a cause of action for a civil suit as against the Government. But, owing to the deep loyalty cherished by her family, she abhors the very idea

though advised and encouraged.

Moreover, the properties in question are among those involved in a civil litigation filed by the memorialist against the said Mr. J. J. Fernandes and others seeking declarations negativing their right, title and interest in respect of the properties in question inter alia. In these circumstances, it is highly detrimental to both private and public interest, that the Government should interfere in such matters by attempting to acquire such properties which are bones of contentions and serves no real and useful purpose of the Government or the public.

Save for the purpose of providing a residence for the Collector which is not in any way a public purpose, the proposed acquisition is of no necessity or utility for any road, ferry, military, railway or port purposes. By the withdrawal of the land acquisition proceedings at least at this stage before disbursement of the value of compensation, a clean and net saving of over a lakh of King's good rupees can be effected and the amount utilised for more urgent and useful needs instead of throwing it for the sake of a paltry and purely private purpose in the present stringent state of financial depres-
sion.

-47- 15

The memorialist is a pious lady of an advanced age ever cherising the blessings and boons of British Rule in India praying for the progress and prosperity of that Rule.

The memorialist, therefore, most respectfully prays that Your Excellency, as the representative in the Province of Madras of His Most Excellent Majesty the King Emperor may, on a very kind and merciful consideration of the facts and circumstances stated above, be graciously pleased, in recognition of the past services rendered to the Government by her ancestors, to direct the Collector of South Kanara District to drop all proceedings of land acquisition in question and protect her own prestige and the prestige of her premier family.

For which act of grace, the memorialist shall, as in duty bound, ever pray.

S. S. Brid

Helenbergh
Bungalow 16
Bendore
Mangalore
3.7.'39

To

The Hon'ble S. Ramanathan
Minister for general information to
the Government of Madras, Madras.

Respected Sir,

I venture to submit a true copy of my Memorial dated 21st June 1939 to H. E. the Governor of Madras for your kind perusal.

I earnestly solicit the favour of your advising His Excellency to take kindly a generous view of the question and vouchsafe unto me the requisite relief as prayed for therein.

I beg to remain,
Respected Sir,
Your obedient Servant
S. S. Bruto

Dev. Dept.

P.W.D. U.O.



Dev. U.O. 19188-1/39-1

11.7.'39

P. W. D.

A copy of the memorial on pp. 3-9 infra has already been received and sent to Revenue on 12/7/39 for returning the main file or for passing it on to Finance. This way keeps over till the return of the main file.

18

19188-I

10-7-39

To

His Excellency

The Governor of Fort St. George,

COCANADA.

(Annexure 41-9 note)

Humble memorial of Severina Sabina Brito, widow of the late J. M. Brito and residing at Bendur, Mangalore, South Kanara District.

Dated 21st June 1939.

Most Humbly Showeth:-

The memorialist being very sorely aggrieved by the deprivation unjustly and cruelly made of her heirloom properties of considerable value under colour of the Land Acquisition Act, begs most respectfully leave to approach Your Excellency in the hope of obtaining benign and just redress.

The memorialist begs to submit that she belongs to the premier Roman Catholic Christian family of Mangalore, whose ancestors, as disclosed by ancient records had been the trusted

agents of the East India Company's Government in Mangalore, on its acquisition after the overthrow of the Mysore Muslim Power.

The memorialist's father, the late Mr. J. J. Coelho who was the last male member of his family and who died without male issue had devised all his properties in favour of his widow the late Mrs. M. M. Coelho to be enjoyed by her absolutely and left nothing for his four daughters of whom the memorialist is the eldest one.

The said Mrs. M. M. Coelho took all the properties under the devise and continued to enjoy them till her death in 1909. As she had appointed the memorialist as the sole executrix of her last will and testament, the latter on her mother's death came to hold and administered all the properties left by the testatrix.

Of the said properties consisting of fertile cultivated lands producing rice, other food grains, various kinds of flora and fruitage and also substantial and superb buildings in almost all quarters of prominence and vantage in

the City of Mangalore, a portion called 'Sheffield Grant' and situated in the heart of the City and amidst charming sceneries is a unique diadem in the possession of the ancient and loyal premier family now represented by Your Excellency's humble memorialist. This property comprises about 39 A.A.B. acres of garden and uncultivated dry land with two big bungalows, in one of which the family used to be in residence for some part of the year. After the death of her mother, the memorialist began to reside chiefly at her husband's house at Jeppoo or the Codialboil family house. Thus the two bungalows became available to local officers for occupation on monthly tenancy.

Coming now to the main facts which constitute her poignant grievance, the memorialist has to submit that since the deaths of her husband and her mother, she owing to ill-health has been managing through agents and her younger sister's husband Mr. J. J. Fernandes was her agent for the collection of the rentals from the Sheffield Grant Estate. Having by means of fraud, got attornments from, and granting receipts to, tenants with the dishonest intent of creating in himself a title, he was awaiting an opportunity when with him some of the enemies of the memorialist made a common

cause and craftly influenced the local authorities to start land acquisition proceedings in respect of the said family heirloom properties in order not only to unite and displace the memorialist's ancient family, but also to enable the sole mischief-monger the aforementioned Mr. J. J. Fernandes to snatch merely on a show of infirm and invalid title, a share in the compensation awarded.

From the outset, the memorialist contested the propriety and justice of the institution of land acquisition proceedings urging among other things that they were ultra vires of the Act itself in that the provision of a house for the private residence and occupation for an officer is not a public purpose at law.

It has to be submitted that the policy of making such provision is a retrograde and unconstitutional one. Even members of executive Councils of the Governors then had not, and the Ministers and their Parliamentary Secretaries under present Indian Constitution Act of 1935 have not, been provided with public buildings for their private residential purpose, on the other hand, they are being paid special allowance for house rents.

Nevertheless, the Revenue Divisional Officer of Mangalore, in Land Acquisition Case No. 20 of 1935 made an award against which the said Mr. J. J. Fernandes and his daughter filed their objections before the Court of the Subordinate Judge of South Kanara, Mangalore, only with regard to valuation and never from the beginning opposed the proposal for the acquisition. The said Court having modified the original award by enhancing the value to Rs. 1,30,000/-, the Government has filed an appeal before the Honourable High Court of Judicature at Madras and it is still pending and may take one or two years for final disposal.

The memorialist submits that she is in no way concerned with the pendency of that appeal, as her objection is not with regard to the quantum of compensation awarded, but with regard to acquisition itself.

On the ground that the provision of a bungalow for an officer, is not a public purpose and also on other grounds, the memorialist has a cause of action for a civil suit as against the Government. But, owing to the deep loyalty cherished by her family, she abhors the very idea

though advised and encouraged.

Moreover, the properties in question are among those involved in a civil litigation filed by the memorialist against the said Mr. J. J. Fernandes and others seeking declarations negativing their right, title and interest in respect of the properties in question inter alia. In these circumstances, it is highly detrimental to both private and public interest, that the Government should interfere in such matters by attempting to acquire such properties which are bones of contentions and serves no real and useful purpose of the Government or the public.

Save for the purpose of providing a residence for the Collector which is not in any way a public purpose, the proposed acquisition is of no necessity or utility for any road, ferry, military, railway or port purposes. By the withdrawal of the land acquisition proceedings at least at this stage before disbursement of the value of compensation, a clean and net saving of over a lakh of King's good rupees can be effected and the amount utilised for more urgent and useful needs instead of throwing it for the sake of a paltry and purely private purpose in the present stringent state of financial depression.

The memorialist is a pious lady of an advanced age ever cherising the blessings and boons of British Rule in India praying for the progress and prosperity of that Rule.

The memorialist, therefore, most respectfully prays that Your Excellency, as the representative in the Province of Madras of His Most Excellent Majesty the King Emperor may, on a very kind and merciful consideration of the facts and circumstances stated above, be graciously pleased, in recognition of the past services rendered to the Government by her ancestors, to direct the Collector of South Kanara District to drop all proceedings of land acquisition in question and protect her own prestige and the prestige of her premier family.

For which act of grace, the memorialist shall, as in duty bound, ever pray.

S. S. Brito

Mangalore
Bondore
Nelumbergh
Bungalow
3rd July 1939

Rejd
To



The Hon'ble Mr. C. Rajagopalachariar
Chief Minister to the Government of Madras
Madras.

Respected Sir,

I venture to submit a true Copy of my Memorial dated 21st June 1939 to H. E. the Governor of Madras for your kind perusal.

I earnestly solicit your favour of advising His Excellency to take kindly a generous view of the question and vouchsafe unto me the requisite relief as prayed for therein.



I beg to remain,
Respected Sir,
your obedient servant

S. S. Britto

Rev 3022 R/39

5-7-39

To

His Excellency

The Governor of Fort St. George,

OOTACAMUND.

Humble memorial of Severina Sabina Brito, widow of the late J. M. Brito and residing at Bendur, Mangalore, South Kanara District.

Dated 21st June 1939.

Most Humbly Showeth:-

The memorialist being very sorely aggrieved by the deprivation unjustly and cruelly made of her heirloom properties of considerable value under colour of the Land Acquisition Act, begs most respectfully leave to approach Your Excellency in the hope of obtaining benign and just redress.

The memorialist begs to submit that she belongs to the premier Roman Catholic Christian family of Mangalore, whose ancestors, as disclosed by ancient records had been the trustee

agents of the East India Company's Government in Mangalore, on its acquisition after the overthrow of the Mysore Muslim Power.

The memorialist's father, the late Mr. J. J. Coelho who was the last male member of his family and who died without male issue had devised all his properties in favour of his widow the late Mrs. M. M. Coelho to be enjoyed by her absolutely and left nothing for his four daughters of whom the memorialist is the eldest one.

The said Mrs. M. M. Coelho took all the properties under the devise and continued to enjoy them till her death in 1909. As she had appointed the memorialist as the sole executrix of her last will and testament, the latter on her mother's death came to hold and administered all the properties left by the testatrix.

Of the said properties consisting of fertile cultivated lands producing rice, other food grains, various kinds of flora and fruitage and also substantial and superb buildings in almost all quarters of prominence and vantage in

the City of Mangalore, a portion called 'Sheffield Grant' and situated in the heart of the City and amidst charming sceneries is a unique diadem in the possession of the ancient and loyal premier family now represented by Your Excellency's humble memorialist. This property comprises about 39 A.A.B. acres of garden and uncultivated dry land with two big bungalows, in one of which the family used to be in residence for some part of the year. After the death of her mother, the memorialist began to reside chiefly at her husband's house at Jeppoo or the Codialboil family house. Thus the two bungalows became available to local officers for occupation on monthly tenancy.

Coming now to the main facts which constitute her poignant grievance, the memorialist has to submit that since the deaths of her husband and her mother, she owing to ill-health has been managing through agents and her younger sister's husband Mr. J. J. Fernandes was her agent for the collection of the rentals from the Sheffield Grant Estate. Having by means of fraud, got attornments from, and granting receipts to, tenants with the dishonest intent of creating in himself a title, he was awaiting an opportunity when with him some of the enemies of the memorialist made a common

cause and craftly influenced the local authorities to start land acquisition proceedings in respect of the said family heirloom properties in order not only to spite and disgrace the memorialist's ancient family, but also to enable the sole mischief-monger the afore-mentioned Mr. J. J. Fernandes to snatch merely on a show of infirm and invalid title, a share in the compensation awarded.

From the outset, the memorialist contested the propriety and justice of the institution of land acquisition proceedings urging among other things that they were ultra vires of the Act itself in that the provision of a house for the private residence and occupation for an officer is not a public purpose at law.

It has to be submitted that the policy of making such provision is a retrogade and unconstitutional one. Even members of executive Councils of the Governors then had not, and the Ministers and their Parliamentary Secretaries under present Indian Constitution Act of 1935 have not, been provided with public buildings for their private residential purpose, on the other hand, they are being paid special allowance for house rents.

Nevertheless, the Revenue Divisional Officer of Mangalore, in Land Acquisition Case No. 20 of 1935 made an award against which the said Mr. J. J. Fernandes and his daughter filed their objections before the Court of the Subordinate Judge of South Kanara, Mangalore, only with regard to valuation and never from the beginning opposed the proposal for the acquisition. The said Court having modified the original award by enhancing the value to Rs. 1,30,000/-, the Government has filed an appeal before the Honourable High Court of Judicature at Madras and it is still pending and may take one or two years for final disposal.

The memorialist submits that she is in no way concerned with the pendency of that appeal, as her objection is not with regard to the quantum of compensation awarded, but with regard to acquisition itself.

On the ground that the provision of a bungalow for an officer, is not a public purpose and also on other grounds, the memorialist has a cause of action for a civil suit as against the Government. But, owing to the deep loyalty cherished by her family, she abhors the very idea

81

though advised and encouraged.

Moreover, the properties in question are among those involved in a civil litigation filed by the memorialist against the said Mr. J. J. Fernandes and others seeking declarations negativing their right, title and interest in respect of the properties in question inter alia. In these circumstances, it is highly detrimental to both private and public interest, that the Government should interfere in such matters by attempting to acquire such properties which are bones of contentions and serves no real and useful purpose of the Government or the public.

Save for the purpose of providing a residence for the Collector which is not in any way a public purpose, the proposed acquisition is of no necessity or utility for any road, ferry, military, railway or port purposes. By the withdrawal of the land acquisition proceedings at least at this stage before disbursement of the value of compensation, a clean and net saving of over a lakh of King's good rupees can be effected and the amount utilised for more urgent and useful needs instead of throwing it for the sake of a paltry and purely private purpose in the present stringent state of financial depression.

32

The memorialist is a pious lady of an advanced age ever cherising the blessings and boons of British Rule in India praying for the progress and prosperity of that Rule.

The memorialist, therefore, most respectfully prays that Your Excellency, as the representative in the Province of Madras of His Most Excellent Majesty the King Emperor may, on a very kind and merciful consideration of the facts and circumstances stated above, be graciously pleased, in recognition of the past services rendered to the Government by her ancestors, to direct the Collector of South Kanara District to drop all proceedings of land acquisition in question and protect her own prestige and the prestige of her premier family.

For which act of grace, the memorialist shall, as in duty bound, ever pray.

S. S. Brito

33

C 12 G

INDIAN POSTS AND TELEGRAPHS DEPARTMENT

1411

MINISTER FOR REVENUE 8 SEP 1939 GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS

NOTICE.

This form must accompany any inquiry made respecting this Telegram.

Charges to pay. Rs. As. 2/1

Office Stamp. 7 SEP. 39 C.T.O.

SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT 9 SEP 1939 REVENUE DEPARTMENT

Handed in at (Office of Origin)	Date.	Hour.	Minutes.	Service Instructions.	Words.
	7/9/39				

TO 11/25

Recd. here 8/9/39 M.

O LE MANGALORE KNA 7 24 HON REVENUE MINISTER MADRAS

SUB COURT DISTRIBUTING COLLECTORS BUNGALOW ACQUISITION AMOUNT EIGHTH INSTT PRAY ORDERS MEMORIAL TWENTYFIRST JUNE CANCELLING ACQUITTION = SEVERINA BRITO

N. B.—The name of the Sender, if telegraphed, is written after the text.

Gyal Chand & Sons, Printers, Calcutta—5630R (B-171)—18-11-38—30,000 Bks.

97

Revenue Dept.

P. W. D w.c.

Ex. 11/9/39. 11/9.

11.9.39

P.W.D.

The main file is in circulation. Its return may be awaited.

11/9/39

Rev. w.o. 4828 9/39-1

11/9/39

Obtained from P.W.D that on this subject is in that dept

11/9/39

ARMY SECRET GOVERNMENT PUBLIC 12 SEP 1939 5146

2/3 12/9 6/9 14/9

B

P.S. 4—1,206—6-12-38

GOVERNOR'S SECRETARIAT, MADRAS.

ASSISTANT SECRETARY TO GOVT. Rev 3015 5 JUL 1939 REVENUE DEPARTMENT

Memo. No. 1856/39-1

B) 5/7 Revenue

Transferred to the Secretary to Government, Revenue Department, for favour of disposal. The communication ~~has~~ has not been acknowledged.

ENCLOSURES:—
Removal from
Mrs S.S. Brito,
Mangalore, § 21.6.39.

(By order of His Excellency the Governor.)

Rev Sepl

ASST SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT C 11 JUL 1939 3936 PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT

W.F. Bryant
Private Secretary.

3/7
3/7

P.W. ms.

11.7.39

The 4-7-1939.

§ 10.7.39 11/7/39 Rev ms No 3015 B/39-1(1) FMD 11.7.39

PTO

2

Rev 3168 B/39

P.W.D. B (GBR) 13-7-39

The file containing the report from the G. S. (GBR) on the facts of the case was sent to Rev. and Fin. with Pw. no. 5680. G. 38-7 of 6. 7. 39.

Revenue may be requested to return that file to this dept. if it is still with them and if not to pass on to Finance

ASSISTANT SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT
13 JUL 1939
FINANCE DEPT

14/7 2630/R

14/7

Rev. Rev dept

P.W. no. 39 36. 4. 39-1 To A.S.

14/7/39

This may be returned to Rev. Mins Fin. with the information that the file referred to above was passed on to Fin with Rev. no. 3026 B/38-1 of 15-7-38. Another ref presented to Hon (Rev) is added to the C.F. below. Rev may be requested to circulate the file to Hon (Rev with reference to this note on p11 C.F.

9 17 C.F.

Rev no. 3155 B/38-1 C.F.

18-7-38

15-7-38

15/7/38

18/7/39

Hon
P.M.

Finance (R)

The file referred to on page 1 ante was returned to the P.W.D with Finance No. NIL dt 18.7.1939. P.W.D may be informed accordingly

NIL 20/7/39 20.7.39 21/7/39

P.W.D

Tel no 26301 Ext
21.7.39

P.W.D

ASST. SECTY TO GOVERNMENT
24 JUL 1939
4219
PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT

54/15 with the file below

Public (Spl) may be requested to put up G.Os. Nos. R.485 Pub.(Spl) of 3.12.35 and 36 Pub. (Spl) dated 5.2.36 relating to cases in which acquisition proceedings were approved, so as to see whether any objections were received at the time of acquisition.

29.7.39 29/7 Public (Spl)

P.W.D No. 3936C/39-2
1-8-39

Public (Spl) Dept.

RECEIVED
3 AUG 1939
4 4 39
PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT

22333

ASSISTANT SECRETARY TO GOVT
1- AUG 1939
CHIEF SECTT.

The two Nos referred to in P.W. Dept note above are put up at flags (I) & (L). The file may be returned to P.W.D

Public (Spl) No 22333-1
2/8/39

A.N 2.8.39

2/8/39 P.W.D

PTO

RDN 2/8/39

Public Works Department.

Please see the memorial of Mrs. Brito on pages 1 to 7 c.f., praying that the acquisition proceedings in respect of the Collector's residence at Mangalore may be dropped.

'M' on p.5 c.f

The objections raised by the memorialist are not against the quantum of compensation awarded, but against the acquisition itself.

pp 85. 86 (K) in L.F

In G.O. No. 464 W., dated 18th February 1936 the Government sanctioned the acquisition of the bungalow occupied by the Collector of South Kanara at Mangalore at a cost of Rs. 48,557/-, which sum included Rs. 7,210/- for repairs to the building. The notification under Section 4(1) of the Land Acquisition Act was approved in G.O. No. R. 485 Public (Special) dated 3-12-35. It was published on 10-12-35. The memorialist then objected to the acquisition chiefly on the ground that it would involve loss of prestige to her ancient family. This objection was overruled and the declaration under Section 6 of the Land Acquisition Act was approved in G.O. No. R. 36 Public (Special) dated 5-2-1936. The declaration was published on 18-2-36 and the property was taken possession of on 18-3-36.

p. 86 of (K) in L.F

p.b (I)

para 11 on p. 4 & copy of judgment at (J) in L.F

para 2. p. 19 of G.O. at (L)

p. 23 of (L)

para 11 p. 5 of (Q) in L.F

The memorialist now raises an additional objection, viz., that the provision of a house for the private residence and occupation for an officer is not public purpose at law. What constitutes a public purpose

'P' on p. 6 c.f & the para following

5C

B

is not defined anywhere ~~in the Land Acquisition Act.~~ But it has been decided that the Government are the sole judges of what constitutes a public purpose. Cases of acquisition for providing official residences are not far to seek.

vide para 6 on p 85 of L.A. Manual Bk. no. 29

Apart from the untenability of this objection, when the Government took possession of the property on 18-3-36 the land acquisition proceedings were closed. After possession has been taken the land vests absolutely in the Government free from all encumbrances and then it is impossible for the Government to withdraw from the acqusition. Further, even if the property were to be resold, there would be difficulty as the other owners have not objected to the acqusition. No action seems therefore necessary on the memorial.

para 16(i) of G.O. no. 90 Finance (L.A. Manual Vol. I) p. 50 of Bk. no. 29 or para 9 of Ch. XX p. 111 of Bk. no. 27

2. Regarding the quantum of compensation, the Land Acquisition Officer awarded Rs. 41,127/- as compensation but the Sub Court has enhanced it by Rs. 40,104/- An appeal has been preferred against this by the Collector and the result is awaited. It is proposed to ask the Board of Revenue separately at the instance of the Finance Department, to report on the feasibility of selling a portion of the large compound of the bungalow (23.59 acres).

para 3, p. 2 of G.O. in L.F.
para 39 p. 58 of do.
para 3, p. 13 G.F. in L.F.

L.F.

3. Revenue, Public (Special) and Finance may see the case prior to circulation.

P.W. no. 3936. 6.39. 3

12/8/39

(with a L.F.)

Rev 12/8

Pub(Spl) 12/8

Fin 12/8

7/8/39

Rev Dept.

DO No. 5.

The new expressed at 'A' in the new Rev an P.S ank is Correct.

The file may be perused and passed on to Pub(Sp)

14.8.39

OK. 15/8/39

Pub(Sp) dept

P. W. Dept note at pp 4–5 ante may be perused and the file passed on to Finance.

A.N 14.8.39

Rev no M 3801 B/38/1

16.8.38

Public(Sp) no 24186-I

21.8.39

Finance S.I.

May be perused and returned to the P.W.D.

22.8.39

24/8/39

P.W.D

PTO

31123 E

Fin no 31123 EI-1

25.8.39

P.W.D.

The note on pp. 4 & 5 ante explains the case. The file may be circulated to H.M.s (P.W.), and (Rev.) ~~and H. P.M.~~.

28/8/39.

29/8

29/8

HM(PW) 29/8

HM(Rev.) 12/9/39

P.W.D.

A telegram from Mrs. Brito, since received, is added to file. A draft ~~memo. recording these papers is put up~~ order is submitted

14/9/39.

14/9

19/9

19/9

20/9/9

P. N.D.

Memo. — Abstract

Q0 2268
MG 19/9/8

Land Acquisition – South Kanara – Mangalore – Acquisition of a bungalow for use as Collector's residence – Memorial dated 21-6-39 from Severina Sabina Brito – Orders.

C. 3936/C/39.

Mr.I-ICF

Recorded.

The memorialist is informed that the acquisition proceedings were completed so long ago as March 1936. The request for dropping all proceedings is belated & cannot be granted.

JB 19/9
Lmk 14/9

To Mrs. Severina Sabina Brito.
Bendur, Mangalore.

Dena 20/9

17/9

18/9

2/5 Land Acquisition Compensation - distribution of represented

13/9/39

G 1 5096/38

Helenburgh Bendur 27.9.38

Kankaradu C.C. Manager

25 SEP 1939

The Hon'ble T. Varadarajan

Revenue Minister to the Government of Madras

Madras

Sir,

I confirm the following telegram despatched to you on the 7th instant:-

"Sul court distributing Collector's bungalow acquisition amount eighth instant pray orders memorial twenty first June cancelling acquisition"

and to request that in the circumstances mentioned in my memorial referred to above you may be pleased to order the withdrawal of the amount deposited in the court and of the appeal preferred to the High Court against the order of the higher valuation by the Sub-judge.

Your obedient Servant

S. S. Brit

Revenue dept.

P.W.D w.c. in continuation of this dept's w.c. No. 4828 c/38 - dt 11/9/1939.

Rea 28/9/39

28/9/39

Rev. w.c. 5096/38-1 29/9/39

Telegram sent w.c. to PWD 30/9

30 SEP 1939

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT

P.W.D.

(F) Orders issued in Go. no. 2268 P.W.] 19.9.39.

May be filed with the Gs.

3/10

3/10

3/10

4/10